2018-19 NYSED Administrative Review Summary of Findings

SFA Na	westminster Community	Charter	School _{SF.}	A LEA Code: 14060086	8874	
Child No	Intrition Programs (check all that apply):	■ NSLP	■ SBP	■ Afterschool Snacks	□ FFVP	□ SMP
	Provision Option: ■ CEP □ P2					
Date of A	Administrative Review (Entrance Conferen	nce Date): 1	1/14/18			
Date rev	view results were provided to the School Fo	ood Authority	11/14/18	3		
Date rev	view summary was publicly posted: June	2019				
	No findings.	Meal Access	and Reimbur	rsement		
Certific	cation and Benefit Issuance:					
□ *	*Students were incorrectly certified for free	or reduced p	rice meals.			
□ *	*Free or reduced price meal benefits were p	provided to stu	udents without	having appropriate certificati	on documentation	on on file.
	Students' prior year eligibility status was no	ot carried over	r for the first 3	0 operating days of the curren	t school year.	
	Free benefits were not extended to all stude	nts in a house	hold containin	ng at least one directly certified	d student.	
□ H	Household applications were incorrectly de	nied.				
□ *	*Student eligibility was not properly transfe	erred to the be	enefit issuance	document.		
□ *	*Student eligibility updates were not made	to the point o	f service benef	fit issuance document according	ng to SFA's esta	blished
t	timeframes.					
	The Direct Certification Matching Process v	was not condi	icted as require	ed.		

	Documentation to support that the following information was sent to households was not maintained:
	☐ Income Application
	□ Parent letter
	☐ Eligibility Notification letter
	☐ Denial letter
	☐ Direct Certification Notification letter
	There is outdated, missing or incorrect information on:
	☐ Income Application
	□ Parent letter
	☐ Eligibility Notification letter
	☐ Denial letter
	☐ Direct Certification Notification letter
	Administrative Prerogative was incorrectly used.
Verific	cation:
	The income verification process was not completed by November 15th.
	An Alternate Sampling Method was used when the SFA did not meet the criteria required to use an alternate process.
	No confirmation review was conducted on applications selected for verification.
	The number of applications verified was incorrect.
	Free and reduced price meal benefits for students of households that failed to respond to the verification request were not
	terminated.
	Applications were not correctly verified.
	Appropriate changes were not made to the benefit status of a student(s) as a result of verification.

	Families not notified appropriately of a change in benefit status as	a result of veri	fication:	
	☐ For a decrease in benefits, families were not notified in wr	iting at least 10	calendar days	in advance
	☐ For an increase in benefits, families were not notified with	in 3 calendar da	ays	
	The letter sent to families who have been selected for verification	contains outdat	ted, missing or	incorrect information.
	The Letter of Verification Results contains outdated, missing or in	ncorrect information	ation.	
	The Verification Collection Report was not accurately completed.			
Meal (Counting and Claiming:			
	*The claim for reimbursement was incorrectly consolidated.			
	This error is: ☐ Systemic ☐ Non-Systemic	☐ Breakfast	□ Lunch	□ Snack
	*Meal counts by category were not combined and recorded correc	tly on the clain	n for reimburse	ment.
	This error is: ☐ Systemic ☐ Non-Systemic	☐ Breakfast	□ Lunch	□ Snack
	The meal counting and claiming system does not prevent overt ide	entification of s	tudents receivii	ng free and reduced price benefits.
	*Meals are not counted at the point of service.	☐ Breakfast	□ Lunch	□ Snack
	Meal Pattern and N	utritional Qua	<u>ality</u>	
Meal (Components and Quantities			
	*Production records were not maintained as required.	☐ Breakfast	□ Lunch	□ Snack
	*Meals Offered:			
	☐ did not contain all required components			
	☐ did not contain sufficient quantities	☐ Repeat Fin	ding	
	☐ were not in portion sizes appropriate to each age/grade gro	up		
	*Meals served were incomplete			
	All required meal components were not offered to students			
	Meals served were missing required components	☐ Breakfast	■ Lunch	□ Snack

	*Grain items are not w	hole-grain rich.	☐ Repeat Fine	ding	
	*Fluid milk was not of	fered in at least two varieties.	☐ Repeat Fine	ding	
	*An unallowable milk	type(s) was offered.	☐ Repeat Fine	ding	
Offer	versus Serve:				
	Signage indicating wha	at constitutes a reimbursable meal was not d	isplayed.		
	*The meal service was	not structured to comply with the required	age/grade grou	p requirements.	
			☐ Breakfast	□ Lunch	□ Snack
	Signage did not indicat	te meal requirements under Offer vs. Serve.			
	Offer vs. Serve is not p	properly implemented.			
	Staff not adequately tra	nined on Offer Versus Serve requirements.			
Dietar	y Specifications and N	utrient Analysis:			
	*The nutrient analysis	conducted of meals offered did not meet the	e following Die	tary Specificati	ions for the age/grade group
	being served and:				
	☐ Calories	□ Trans Fat			
	☐ Saturated Fat	□ Sodium			
	☐ Repeat Finding				

	Resource Management
	The Nonprofit Food Service Account has an excess fund balance that exceeds three months' average operating expenses
	The Nonprofit Food Service Account has a negative ending fund balance
	The Paid Lunch Equity Tool was not completed prior to the review.
	The Non-program Food Tool was not completed prior to the review.
	Program foods are not separated from non-program foods.
	No assurance that the price of an adult meal covers all costs of the meal
	No assurance that non-program food revenue generates at least the same amount it costs to purchase the food.
	Indirect costs were charged to the nonprofit food service account without an approved indirect cost rate agreement.
	An incorrect base or rate was used to calculate indirect costs.
	General Program Compliance
Civil I	Rights:
	No documentation to support that a Public Announcement was released.
	The Public Announcement contains outdated, missing or incorrect information.
	Documentation to support annual civil rights training for all staff was not maintained.
	The USDA Non-Discrimination Statement is not included on all Program documents or is not the updated Non-Discrimination

☐ The SFA does not appear to be in compliance with all Civil Rights requirements.

Statement.

SFA On-site Monitoring:
☐ The on-site review of the breakfast and lunch counting and claiming system was
□ not conducted
□ not conducted by February 1 of the current school year.
☐ A self-review of the afterschool snack program was:
□ not conducted
☐ first review not conducted within the first 4 weeks of operation
□ second review not conducted prior to the end of the school year
☐ The SFA did not conduct a follow-up review to ensure corrective action from the initial self-review was implemented
Local School Wellness Policy:
☐ No Local Wellness Policy.
☐ Local Wellness Policy requirements are not met.
Smart Snacks in School (All Foods Sold in School):
☐ Foods and/or beverages sold during the school day are not compliant with Smart Snack regulations as defined.
☐ There is no process to ensure food items sold during the school day are in compliance with Smart Snack regulations.
Professional Standards:
☐ The new school nutrition program director does not meet the hiring requirements
☐ All school nutrition program personnel have not met or will not meet the annual training requirements.

Water:
☐ Free potable water is not available to students during the meal service.
☐ Breakfast ☐ Lunch
Food Safety, Storage, and Buy American:
■ No written food safety plan is maintained.
☐ The written food safety plan is outdated and/or does not reflect actual practices.
□ No documentation to support that food safety inspections were requested from the health department.
☐ The most recent health inspection was not posted in a publically visible location.
■ HACCP principles and/or local and State health standards are not being met.
☐ No current permit to operate a food service
☐ Improper personal hygiene
☐ Cross-contamination is not prevented
☐ Food temperatures not monitored/recorded
■ Refrigerator and/or freezer temperatures not monitored/recorded
☐ Food prep and/or service areas not clean
☐ Utensils/equipment not clean
☐ Evidence of pests present
☐ Improper food storage
A review of the food products on-site at reviewed schools and/or at off-site storage facilities indicated a violation of the Buy
American provision.

Report	ing and Recordkeeping:
	Program records are not maintained on file for three years plus the current year.
	Reports are not submitted to SED by deadlines.
	The Verification Collection Report was not submitted by December 15 th .
	☐ Cash Analysis was not submitted by October 31st
	☐ Health Inspection Report was not submitted by October 15 th
	☐ The results of the independent review of applications was not submitted by October 31st
	☐ Reimbursement claims are not submitted within 60 days following the last day of the full month covered by the claim
SBP an	nd SFSP Outreach:
	The School Breakfast Program is not being promoted as required.
	The Summer Food Service Program is not being promoted as required.
Other 1	Federal Program Reviews:
	*The Afterschool Care Program (Snack) is not administered as required.
	*The Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program is not administered as required.
	*The Special Milk Program is not administered as required.

*Finding(s) that may result in fiscal action.